IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 35623

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2009 Unpublished Opinion No. 432
Plaintiff-Respondent,	Filed: April 21, 2009
v.	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
ANDREA C. ANDERSON,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. David C. Nye, District Judge.

Order revoking probation and ordering previously imposed sentence into execution, <u>affirmed</u>.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Eric D. Fredericksen, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

D.C. A.LYGDYG GIL (A. I. DEDDY A. I.

Before LANSING, Chief Judge, PERRY, Judge and GUTIERREZ, Judge

PER CURIAM

Andrea C. Anderson was charged with and pled guilty to possession of methamphetamine and was sentenced to a unified term of six years with two years determinate. The district court suspended the sentence and placed Anderson on probation for four years. Anderson subsequently violated the terms of her probation and the district court revoked her probation and ordered the previously imposed sentence into execution. Anderson appeals, contending that the district court abused its discretion by revoking her probation and ordering the underlying sentence into execution.

It is within the trial court's discretion to revoke probation if any of the terms and conditions of the probation have been violated. I.C. §§ 19-2603, 20-222; *State v. Beckett*, 122 Idaho 324, 326, 834 P.2d 326, 328 (Ct. App. 1992); *State v. Adams*, 115 Idaho 1053, 1054, 772 P.2d 260, 261 (Ct. App. 1989); *State v. Hass*, 114 Idaho 554, 558, 758 P.2d 713, 717 (Ct. App. 1988). In determining whether to revoke probation, a court must examine whether the probation is achieving the goal of rehabilitation and consistent with the protection of society. *State v. Upton*, 127 Idaho 274, 275, 899 P.2d 984, 985 (Ct. App. 1995); *Beckett*, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327; *Hass*, 114 Idaho at 558, 758 P.2d at 717. The court may, after a probation violation has been established, order that the suspended sentence be executed or, in the alternative, the court is authorized under Idaho Criminal Rule 35 to reduce the sentence. *Beckett*, 122 Idaho at 326, 834 P.2d at 328; *State v. Marks*, 116 Idaho 976, 977, 783 P.2d 315, 316 (Ct. App. 1989). A decision to revoke probation will be disturbed on appeal only upon a showing that the trial court abused its discretion. *Beckett*, 122 Idaho at 326, 834 P.2d at 328.

Applying the foregoing standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, the order revoking probation and directing execution of Anderson's previously suspended sentence is affirmed.